

---

**From:** Vozzolo, David (TPL)  
**To:** Adams, Charlotte (TPL); Fisher, Ron (TPL)  
**CC:** Boerner, Tim (TPL); Cohen, Sheila (TPL); Ossi, Joseph (TPL)  
**Sent:** 11/19/1996 5:54:00 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Honolulu

Some additional information about the FY98 3(j) Report may help in the discussion of Honolulu, Las Vegas and other "projects" which may be interested in being included in this year's report.

During initial discussions between TPL and TBP re: preparation of the FY98 3(j) report, we agreed on the desirability of including in the report a listing of additional studies and "projects" which do not have full profiles in the report but which are considering new start fixed guideway alternatives and which may consider section 5309 funding in the future. This listing (which could include a few sentences briefly describing the study/project) could include Honolulu, Las Vegas, Phoenix, and several others of interest.

Dave V.  
-----

From: Adams, Charlotte (TPL)  
To: Fisher, Ron (TPL)  
Cc: Vozzolo, David (TPL); Hom, Robert; Rogers, Leslie; Turchie, Donna  
Subject: RE: Honolulu  
Date: Tue, Nov 19, 1996 10:19AM

Seeking an earmark is fine. But wouldn't it be a bit premature since there is no action on the project for it to be included in the 3(j) report this year?  
-----

From: Fisher, Ron (TPL)  
To: Adams, Charlotte (TPL)  
Cc: Vozzolo, David (TPL); Hom, Robert; Rogers, Leslie; Turchie, Donna  
Subject: FW: Honolulu  
Date: Tue, Nov 19, 1996 10:05AM

When I went to Honolulu for the travel model peer review, I met with Bob Fishman, Managing Director for the city, and Amar Sappal on 11/12, to discuss the status of the rail project. They said that they are seeking an earmark for transit infrastructure in the central corridor within the new authorization. They specifically want the language not to say "rail". As a result they would like Honolulu to be included in the 5309 (m)(3) report,, AKA 3(j). They plan to initiate an MIS in the corridor as they are going to revisit a number of alignment alternatives for the rail project, and will examine other fixed guideway alts. They are taking a broader look at the options to be pursued in the corridor which fits well with what we have been preaching in MIS. The meeting with these two was scheduled just before I left for Honolulu at Amar's request. Since Bob and Leslie were not in the office I mentioned this to Jerry Wiggins before I left.  
-----

From: Hom, Robert  
To: Adams, Charlotte (TPL)  
Cc: Fisher, Ron (TPL); Ossi, Joseph (TPL); Rogers, Leslie; Turchie, Donna  
Subject: FW: Honolulu  
Date: Mon, Nov 18, 1996 3:15PM

Charlotte, the Honolulu Rapid Transit Project is still in the long range plan. We had completed a ROD on 9/11/92. However, it is my understanding that the Mayor did not make rapid transit an issue in his campaign. Opponents of Morgado, probably the labor unions, made it an issue because of his opposition to rapid transit resulting in lost opportunity for jobs. The City Councilmembers were not up for re-election this time so there is no big shift in positions as far as we know. I've been out of the office for over a week, so I may not be aware of any recent developments. We can followup on our sources and keep you apprised of the situation.  
Bob  
-----

From: Rogers, Leslie  
To: Adams, Charlotte (TPL)  
Cc: Hom, Robert  
Subject: RE: Honolulu

Date: Thursday, November 14, 1996 2:15PM

Charlotte:

Thanks for your e-mail. I have herein copied Bob Hom and request that he respond to your inquiry upon his retrun to the office on Monday, Nov. 18th. Thanks again!

-----

From: Adams, Charlotte (TPL)  
To: Rogers, Leslie  
Subject: Honolulu  
Date: Thu, Nov 14, 1996 12:31PM  
Priority: High

Leslie - at the end of the 3(j) report we list projects by categories according to their status, MIS, systems planning, PE, etc.  
Would Honolulu fall into any of those categories? Is it in the long range plan and would that put them into any of the categories?  
I'm trying to find a way for you.  
Charlotte